

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,643	04/10/2001	Isaiah Moore JR.	IM-1	7659
75	590 07/14/2005		EXAM	INER
Michael I. Kroll			ARYANPOUR, MITRA	
171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- <b>3</b>			3711	
		DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/829,643	MOORE, ISAIAH					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mitra Aryanpour	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 April 2005.							
a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
<ul><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li><li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ul>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,						
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/829,643

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 24-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 24, line 15, there does not appear to be support in the specification as filed for the limitation "allowing the second user to ascertain the type of pitch before the ball leaves the hand of the first user." It has not been established in the specification as filed how the pitcher is "allowed" to determine the ball when the pitcher releases the ball.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Randall (3,099,450) in view of Franklin (Instructional Booklet for Major League Pitching Trainer) and DeLanzo (5,118,104).

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 24 and 25, Randall shows a baseball batting practice apparatus and method of use, comprising: selecting a resilient (see column 1, lines 20-25) spherical ball (A) from which a segment (B) has been removed to leave the ball comprised of an exterior spherical portion (1) and a flat area (2), the removed segment being sized such that a perpendicular line from the center of the flat area to the opposite side of the remaining spherical portion is equal to or between forty-five and fifty-five percent (see column 1, lines 36-40 and lines 63-66) of the original spherical ball diameter; Randall provides instructions for illustrating (Note: the broadest reasonable interpretation of "to illustrate" would include to clarify, as by use of examples or comparisons or steps) at least one of grips, arm movement and release methods for pitching a baseball (see column 1, lines 44-50). Additionally, Randall provides the steps for enabling the first player, in the instant case the pitcher to grip, throw and release the half-ball in a manner demonstrated by the steps or instructions in order to carryout one of several chosen pitches (see column 1, lines 51-62; and column 2, lines 23-26); and further providing the steps for enabling a second player, in the instant case the batter to swing a bat having a diameter smaller than a regulation baseball bat (see column 2, lines 23-26) at the ball, wherein such steps enable the batter to attempt to identify standard pitches associated with arm movements, and improve the batter's batting skills. The aforementioned result or step is a well known practice in Baseball. In the event applicant disagrees, <u>DeLanzo</u> under the Description Of The Related Art (column 1, lines 12-20) discloses this well known practice. With regards to the use of an instructional table, Randall gives a few representative examples for carrying out different pitches using the modified ball. Randall in his Patent shows these steps in text-form and not in tables, charts and graphs. However, it is well known that for marketing purposes, often times instructions are illustrated in

Application/Control Number: 09/829,643

Art Unit: 3711

Franklin also shows the above feature. In view of Franklin it would have been obvious to have provided an instructional booklet having tables and figures for the training apparatus of Randall, the motivation being, so the end user can more readily carryout the instructions necessary for using the apparatus of Randall to more readily cause the projectile to sail or glide through the air.

5. Claims 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art of record as applied to claim 25 and further in view of "The Visual Dictionary of Baseball (TVDB)".

Regarding claims 26-33, Randall does not disclose expressly each and every arm movement known in baseball and softball. Randall gives a few representative examples for gripping, throwing and releasing the half-ball. e.g. the ball can be held so that the flat side is tilted at a slight angle to the right, left, up or down, thus producing variations from normal flight, by doing so a number of varieties of pitching techniques can be developed. Randall defines the steps required for gripping, throwing and releasing the half-ball, one familiar with baseball would be able to determine the type of pitch. Additionally, Randall teaches that a whip-like sidearm pitch can be employed, so that the projectile, when released, is given a spinning motion about its own axis, with the lower flat surface generally parallel with the ground (see column 1, lines 44-62). Perhaps, since the steps (arm positioning/movements) are so well known and conventional, the patentee (Randall) may have thought that no additional comments were required for the remaining known pitches. In the event applicant disagrees that the pitches are old and well known, TVDB demonstrates several well-known pitches e.g. fastball, curveball, knuckleball, slider, sinkerball, etc. (see pages 26 and 27). Therefore, in view of Randall's own

Application/Control Number: 09/829,643

Art Unit: 3711

teaching and TVDB it would have been obvious to carryout a pitch in any well-known manner,

the motivation being, to achieve the desired pitch and to make the game of Randall more

interesting and educational for the end user. It should be noted that during normal use and

operation of the Randall device, the method steps set forth by applicant in the claim is inherently

provided.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mitra Aryanpour whose telephone number is 571-272-4405. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA

07 July 2005

MITRA ARVANPOUR

Page 5